WARRANT IN DEBT — SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION FALLS CHURCH DIST COURTS Commonwealth of Virginia VA CORP ...

Commonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE § 16.1-79; 16.1-122.3

Falls Church

2011 FEB - 7 P 12: 10 RETURN DA

	gatelitings Auditorities	TE
Arrin		
aton.		
American	=	C
1	5	ASE NO

PLAINTIFF(S) (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE INITIAL)

44514 Clear View Farm Road

Leonardtown, MD 20650 (808) 987-9255

TO THE DEFENDANT(S): You are summoned to appear before this Court at the above address on MAYCH 4, 201 @ 4 3D AM to answer the Plaintiff(s)' civil claim (see below)

TO ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER: You are hereby commanded to summon the Defendant(s).

300 Park Ave Falls Church, VA 22046

CITY OR COUNTY

...... General District Court

STREET ADDRESS OF COURT

Cars International LLC / Cars International A, LLC DEFENDANT(S) (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE INITIAL)

c/o President Agent Abid Awan

624 S. Washington Street

Falls Church, VA 22046

WARRANT IN DEBT-

SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION

granted by the court only for good cause shown. different date for trial. Other continuances shall be against you. By law, this case must be tried on the return date above unless all parties agree upon a however, if you fail to appear, judgment may be entered TO DEFENDANT: You are not required to appear;

[] JUDGMENT that the Plaintiff(s) recover against [] named Defendant(s) []

× PLAINTIFI

PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYEE

CASE DISPOSITION

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES

February 3, 2011

Open Account [] Contract [] Note [x] Other (EXPLAIN)

Violation of Section 59.1-204 Code of VA (See Attached

[] NO [] cannot be demanded

...... costs with the basis of this claim being

\$ 4,500.00

... net of any credits, with interest at ...

INTEREST RATE 6.00

DATE FROM WHICH IS DUE

October 25, 2009

.. until paid.

... % from ..

CLAIM: Plaintiff(s) claim that Defendant(s) owe Plaintiff(s) a debt in the sum of

CLERK

DEPUTY CLERK

MAGISTRATE

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES [] NO [] CANNOT BE DEMANDED

COSTS

JUDGMENT FOR [] NAMED DEFENDANT(S)[].....

[] YES.....

ORDERED

DUE

Grounds of Defense . .

ahead of time. hearing, vision, mobility, etc., contact the court DISABILITY ACCOMMODATIONS for loss of

DATE AND TIME

NEXT HEARING

3	1	F
7	1	9
6		9
10		

TO ATTACHED NOTICE SATISFIED PURSUANT JUDGMENT PAID OR SATISFACTION

CLERK

DATE

Defendant(s) Present?

NON-SUIT LA BISMISSED /

Indemnifying bond of \$. .

ON

....[] secured[] unsecured required for lost instrument (Va. Code § 8.01-32)

- 1. The defendants are the sellers of automobiles in the State of Virginia for personal use.
- 2. On September 25, 2009, plaintiff purchased a vehicle from defendants bearing Stock Number 94608 according to the buyer's order prepared by the defendants. Plaintiff paid defendants \$1,500.00.
- 3. Based on the defendants' agent's false promises and misrepresentations that the plaintiff would receive a refund of her deposit in the amount of \$1,500.00 if she returned the vehicle in its delivered condition, plaintiff returned the vehicle to defendants on October 25, 2009.
- 4. The defendants failed to return the deposit money on delivery, but again falsely and fraudulently promised to return the deposit in five to seven business days (see attached letter).
- 5. Relying on this representation, plaintiff delivered the vehicle to the defendants on October 25, 2009.
- 6. Defendants did not return any of the deposit money despite the written confirmation.
- 7. Defendants' actions were willful and at no time did defendants intend to return the deposit money.
- 8. The defendants' actions were at all times part of a scheme to obtain funds from plaintiff without delivering title to the vehicle.
- 9. By promising to return the deposit money, plaintiff was fraudulently induced to return the car.
- 10. As a result of defendants' actions plaintiff was damaged in the amount of \$1,500.00 plus interest since October 25, 2009.
- 11. Pursuant to Section 59.1-204, Code of Virginia, plaintiff is entitled to three times the actual damages sustained, plus court costs.



624 South Washington St Falls Church VA 22046 Tel: 703-531-1060 Fax:703-531-1064

October 25th 2009

This letter is to inform you that Amy Arrington has returned the vehicle to Cars International on October 25th, 2009. According the Cars International refund policy the refund of \$1500.00 (fifteen hundred dollars) will be mailed to the person mentioned on the bill of sale, Amy Arrington, in 5-7 business days.

Thank you-

Omar

FORM DC-402 (FRONT) 10/07 (A102270 2/10) [] Indemnifying bond of \$. . . Defendant(s) Present? [] YES HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES [] NO [] CANNOT BE DEMANDED CASE DISPOSITION HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES [] NO [] cannot be demanded [] JUDGMENT that the Plaintiff(s) recover against [] named Defendant(s) [] \$.54.00..... costs with the basis of this claim being [] Open Account [X] Contract [] Note [] Other (EXPLAIN) MUKEY PAIL FOR WARRY TO THE DEFENDANT(S): You are summoned to appear before this Court at the above address on QNUQKU 12,201,910 HM to answer the Plaintiff(s)' civil claim (see below) 11/30/10 CLAIM: Plaintiff(s) claim that Defendant(s) owe Plaintiff(s) a debt in the sum of] NON-SUIT [\(\) DISMISSED \(\hat{P}_{\textstyle \textstyle \tex JUDGMENT FOR [] NAMED DEFENDANT(S) TO ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER: You are hereby commanded to summon the Defendant(s). Commonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE § 16.1-79; 16.1-122.3 WARRANT IN DEBT — SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION tallsChurch X PLAINTIFF ...[]secured[] unsecured required for lost instrument
(Va. Code § 8.01-32) CITY OR COUNTY Pres ye DEPUTY CLERK [] MACISTRATE PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYEE FALLS CHERRY PISTICL COURT until paid. **DISABILITY ACCOMMODATIONS** for loss of ahead of time hearing, vision, mobility, etc., contact the court TO DEFENDANT: You are not required to appear; however, if you fail to appear, judgment may be entered granted by the court only for good cause shown. different date for trial. Other continuances shall be return date above unless all parties agree upon a against you. By law, this case must be tried on the Grounds of Defense . . AWGN Abid A. (Registered trick)
DEFENDANT(S) (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE INITIAL) 3902 woodhue place RETURN DATE Cars International A LLC RORIGOEZ, MAX C. 624 S. washington Street Alexandría, VA 22309 Rodriguez, Kurjeel A tails Church, VA 22046 703-531-1060 SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION WARRANT IN DEBT-ORDERED AED DUE

> NEXT HEARING DATE AND TIME

JUDGMENT PAID OR SATISFIED PURSUANT TO ATTACHED NOTICE

SATISFACTION

CLERK

DATE

[] Indemnifying bond of \$ Defendant(s) Present? [] YES HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES [] NO [] CANNOT BE DEMANDED CASE DISPOSITION HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES CLAIM: Plaintiff(s) claim that Defendant(s) owe Plaintiff(s) a debt in the sum of] JUDGMENT FOR [] NAMED DEFENDANT(S)[]...] NON-SUIT [] DISMISSED ... TO THE DEFENDANT(S): You are summoned to appear before this Court at the above address on $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{$ TO ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER: You are hereby commanded to summon the Defendant(s).] Open Account [] Contract [] Note [] Other (EXPLAIN) SDD ME Q.CO. HOL Commonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE § 16.1-79; 16.1-122.3 JUDGMENT that the Plaintiff(s) recover against [] named Defendant(s) [] Chance wark out In two month and they said that was WARRANT IN DEBT — SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION 1+4 Hall, 300 Fack Avenue Falls Church VA RETURN DATE AND TIME costs with the basis of this claim being PLAINTIFF (See below) (See below) CITY OR COUNTY . []secured [] unsecured required for lost instrument The last DEPUTY CLERK [] MAGISTRATE PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYEE DATE FROM WHICH IS DUE DATE FROM WHICH IS DUE General District Court (Va. Code § 8.01-32) until paid ahead of time DISABILITY ACCOMMODATIONS for loss of hearing, vision, mobility, etc., contact the court Grounds of Defense . . . granted by the court only for good cause shown return date above unless all parties agree upon a different date for trial. Other continuances shall be against you. By law, this case must be tried on the however, if you fail to appear, judgment may be entered TO DEFENDANT: You are not required to appear; RETURN DATE SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION WARRANT IN DEBT-ORDERED CASE NO. ATE CASE PTA 944-0000001 944-01-121 94-125 94-121

DATE AND TIME

TO ATTACHED NOTIC

SATISFACTION

DATE

CLERK

JUDGMENT PAID OR SATISFIED PURSUANT

Transfer to Another Locality: If the Defendant believes that Plaintiff(s) should have filed this suit in a different city or county, you may file a written request to have the case moved for trial to the general district court of that city or county.

To do so, you must do the following:

1. Prepare a written request which contains (a) this court's name, (b) the case number and the "return date" as shown on the other side of this form in the top right corner, (c) Plaintiff(s)" name(s) and Defendant(s)" name(s), (d) "I move to object to venue of this case in this court because" and state the reasons for your objection and also state in which city or county the case should be tried, and (e) your signature and mailing address.

Delivered to family member (not temporary sojourner

Being unable to make personal service, a copy was

PERSONAL SERVICE

Tel.

delivered in the following manner:

- File the written request in the clerk's office before the trial date (use the mail at your own risk) or give it to the judge when your case is called on the return date. Also send or deliver a copy to plaintiff.
- 3. If mailed to the court, you will be notified of the judge's decision.

NOT FOUND SERVING OFFICER DATE for

Served on Clerk of the State Corporation Commission.

Served on Secretary of the Commonwealth

appears to be the main entrance of usual place of abode, address listed above. (Other authorized

recipient not found.)

Posted on front door or such other door as

party named above.

or guest) age 16 or older at usual place of abode of party named above after giving information of its purport. List name, age of recipient, and relation of recipient to

REMOVAL TO GENERAL DISTRICT COURT

Jurisdiction by signing and giving this notice to this court before the case is decided I, the undersigned defendant, am exercising my right to remove this case to the general district court of this

	[]oral	:
DATE	[] oral [] written notice of removal has been received this day in this small claims division.	DATE
[] CLERK	en received this day in t	[] DEFENDANT
[]JUDGE	his small claims division.	[] DEFENDANT [] ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT

] Plaintiff's Employee

defendants named therein at the address shown therein on I certify that I mailed a copy of this document to the [] Served on Clerk of the State Corporation Commission. 06/28/10 [] Delivered to family member (not temporary sojourner [] Being unable to make personal service, a copy was X ADDRESS 624 S. Washington ST NOT FOUND Served on Secretary of the Commonwealth DATE 11:35 Pm Posted on front door or such other door as abode, address listed above. (Other authorized recipient not found.) appears to be the main entrance of usual place of recipient to party named above. purport. List name, age of recipient, and relation of party named above after giving information of its or guest) age 16 or older at usual place of abode of PERSONAL SERVICE | Tel. delivered in the following manner: Uana Metscroy all's church M. 22046 Gen MgR SHERIFF S. STEPHEN BITTLE SERVING OFFICER J Plaintiff 703 248-5111

[] NON-SUIT [] DISMISSED DEFENDANT(S) PRESENT? [] YES [] NO DATE FORM DC-421 FRONT 07/10 JUDGE	J Rent, in the sum of \$	DEFENDANT(S) PRESENT? [] YES [] NO	Immediate writ of possession authorized pursuant to Virginia Code S. 8.01-129 based upon a judgment of default for [] a trustee's deed following foreclosure S. 6.202 c. 8.55.202 2.1	recover against $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \end{bmatrix} \text{ named DH} \right\}$ scribed above pursuant to $\S 8.01-1$	is day of location [] clerk []	and \$ 975.00 late fee and \$	and that the Defendant should be removed from possession based on the following: [x] unpaid rent []and/or tenancy terminated (see attached) and further that rent is due and owing and damages have been incurred as follows: 11/1/10-9/16/10 and interest. 3% month from 9/16/10	TO answer this civil claim. RETURN DATE AND TIME [] CLERK SADEPUTY CLERK [] MAGISTRATE CLAIM AND AFFIDAVIT: That Defendant(s) unlawfully detains and withholds from Plaintiff(s): 624 S. Washington Street, Falls Church, VA 22046	ON) Falls Church CITY OR COUNTY 300 Park Avenue, Falls STREET ADDRES ICER: Summon the Defendous are commanded to appear
vision, hearing, mobility, etc. Contact the court ahead of time.	TELEPHONE NUMBER	703 237-6333tel.,703 237-6335 fax ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT(S)		immediately for possession of the premises. Bill of Particulars ordered	date to try this case, you <u>must</u> appear on the return date to try this case [] To dispute this case, you <u>must</u> appear on the return date for the judge to set another date for trial. If you fail to appear and a default judgment is entered against you a writ of procession may be issued.	however, if you fail to appear, judgment may be entered against you. See the additional notice on the reverse about requesting a change of trial location and your right to prevent this unlawful detainer action through payment of amounts owed.	Serve: Abid Arfan Awan, Registered Agent 7110 Falcon St., Annandale,VA 22003 TELEPHONE NUMBER TO DEFENDANT: You are not required to appear;	TELEPHONE NUMBER V. DEFENDANT(S) NAME(S) (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) CARS INTERNATIONAL A, LLC	CASE NO. VIO-375 BROADWAY IRA LLC PLAINTIFF(S) NAME(S) (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) 10916 Barn Wood Lane Potomac, MD 20854
CLERK	MONEY JUDGMENT PAID OR SATISFIED PURSUANT TO ATTACHED NOTICE OF SATISFACTION DATE	JUDGE'S INITIALS	DATE and any rents coming due prior to the next hearing date must	\$RENT OWED into the court to be held in escrow by	FOR VA RESIDENTIAL LANDLORD AND TENANT ACT ONLY: [] Defendant must pay:	[] Redemption tender presented; continued to:		1176/11	11-10-10 11-00-10

BROADWAY IRA LLC v CARS INTERNATIONAL A LLC

- 1. Defendant, Cars International A LLC, terminated its tenancy on 9/16/2010 by virtue of transferring its leasehold interest per an Assignment and Modification of Lease Agreement effective September 16, 2010.
- 2. In the alternative, Defendant, Cars International A LLC has defaulted under its lease for the premises including but not limited to the following:
- A. failure to pay \$2,000.00 representing the balance owed for base rent for the month of August, 2010.
 - B. failure to pay \$600.00 late fee for August base rent of \$12,000.00.
- C. failure to pay returned check fee of \$375, representing at least 5 dishonored checks.
- D. failure to pay real estate taxes of \$12,449.99 for the period 1/1/2010 to 9/16/2010.
 - E. failure to pay security deposit of \$30,000.00

	DISTRICT COURT			
MONTGOMERY	Y COUNTY, MARYLAND	DIS	2	
		SH	2010 JI	Z IT
BRIAN JENKINS	§	ICT C		11
11600 Stewart Ln. #301	§	SC	22	
Silver Spring, MD 20904	§	PR	*	and the same
Plaintiff	§	30	1	
	§	y-	Ģ	1 1 1
v.	§ Case No	E	(J)	The Park of the Pa
9	§		•	
CARS INTERNATIONAL, LLC	§			
624 South Washington St.	§			
Falls Church, VA 22046	§			
Defendant				

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR MONETARY DAMAGES

Parties

- 1. Plaintiff, Brian Jenkins is an individual residing in Montgomery County, Md.
- Defendant, Cars International, LLC is a limited liability corporation doing business in the State of Virginia. Its registered agent for service is Abid Arfan Awan, 624 S.
 Washington St., Fall Church, VA 22046.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. Jurisdiction is proper over Cars International, LLC as it has regular business contacts with the State of Maryland through the sale of used vehicles. It also advertises in the State of Maryland. By having minimum contacts and reaching out to consumers in Maryland, Defendant has minimum contacts with the State of Maryland to justify the exercise of jurisdiction.
- Jurisdiction is proper under Md. Code Ann. Cts. & Jud. Proceedings §4-401 as the amounts claimed do not exceed \$30,000.00

5. Venue is proper pursuant to Md. Code Ann. Cts. & Jud. Proceedings §6-201 as events made the basis of this suit occurred in Montgomery County, Maryland.

Facts

- 6. In late December 2009, from his home in Montgomery County, Maryland, Plaintiff searched the internet for a used vehicle.
- 7. On the Cars International website which is accessible in the state of Maryland, Plaintiff located the type of vehicle he was looking for that was within his price range.
- 8. Through the Cars International website, which was accessible in the state of Maryland, he Plaintiff was able to complete a pre-application for financing. In this pre-application, Plaintiff listed his Maryland address.
- Plaintiff was pre-approved for financing. Defendant when it approved the financing was aware that Plaintiff was located in Maryland.
- 10. On or about January 2, 2010, Plaintiff went to Defendant's location in Falls Church, VA to purchase a used vehicle.
- 11. Plaintiff chose a 2000 BMW 328i for purchase. He was aware the vehicle was used and had high mileage indicated on the odometer.
- 12. Plaintiff was not told about several major mechanical issues with the vehicle.
- 13. Agents and/or employees of Defendant deliberately withheld information about the major mechanical issues in an effort to induce Plaintiff to purchase the vehicle.
- 14. Agents and/or employees of Defendant specifically assured Plaintiff that the vehicle was in fine mechanical condition and would pass Maryland inspection.
- 15. Agents and/or employees of Defendant only indicated that as a used vehicle there may be difficulties with such a vehicle.

- 16. Agents or employees of Defendant did not specifically mention that there were problems with the vehicle, including the battery needed immediate replacement, the radiator, the water pump and the brakes needed immediate repair and various fluids in including the power steering needed to be filled.
- 17. Based on the assurances from Defendant's agents and/or employees, Plaintiff completed the paperwork to purchase the vehicle. He paid a down payment of \$2,800.00 and contracted to make \$236.42 per month payments.
- 18. At the time of sale, Plaintiff was given a limited warranty that covered the "drive train" of the vehicle.
- 19. On or about January 3, 2010, Plaintiff attempted to start his vehicle. It would not start as the battery was dead.
- 20. Plaintiff returned the vehicle to Defendant. Rather than replacing the battery, Defendant merely informed Plaintiff that he should keep the vehicle running and not use the lights or radio.
- 21. When Plaintiff attempted to have the vehicle inspected in Maryland on February 20, 2010, it failed the inspection.
- 22. Plaintiff repeatedly contacted Defendant about the various mechanical issues.
- 23. Defendant repeatedly assured him the issues would be fixed. Defendant never repaired the vehicle.
- 24. Defendant also offered to pay half the cost of fixing the vehicle, but never did so.
 Plaintiff was forced to pay out of his own pocket, despite the limited warranty, in order to keep the vehicle running.

- 25. Due to the unexpected repair expenses, Plaintiff could only make partial payments on the vehicle. These payments were accepted by the finance company and not returned to Plaintiff as unacceptable.
- 26. Instead of keeping its promises to Plaintiff to repair the vehicle, Defendant contacted a repossession company to return possession of the vehicle to Defendant.
- 27. Plaintiff contacted Defendant on or about March 11, 2010 to resolve the issues and avoid repossession.
- 28. Defendant informed Plaintiff he should bring the vehicle back to Defendant and a new financing deal would be worked out.
- 29. On or about Saturday, March 13, 2010, Plaintiff returned the vehicle to Defendant in Falls Church, in the belief a new financing deal would be completed.
- 30. Plaintiff arrived at around 2 p.m. From that time until 7 p.m., he was informed that a new financing deal was imminent.
- 31. At 7 p.m., Plaintiff was informed that there would be no new financing deal and he was free to leave.
- 32. Plaintiff proceeded to leave by going to his vehicle, only to discover that it was blocked in by police cars. Plaintiff was informed that the car was no longer his, the Defendant had taken back possession. Plaintiff was not even allowed to retrieve his personal belongings from his vehicle. Plaintiff had no way home from the dealership.
- 33. After further discussion under these conditions, Plaintiff was finally offered new financing. The final purchase price of the vehicle was \$1,515.88 higher than the previous deal.

- 34. Plaintiff was forced to sign a promissory note for \$1,450.00, which represented the difference in commission that Defendant would have received between the first and second financing deals.
- 35. Plaintiff has made all payments due under the new financing agreement.
- 36. Plaintiff has refused to pay the promissory note due to the circumstances under which it was signed.
- 37. Despite being current on payments, Defendant has reported the vehicle as stolen to law enforcement.
- 38. Defendant is aware of the falsity of this report to law enforcement.
- 39. Defendant has refused to complete the paperwork to allow the vehicle to be properly registered.
- 40. Plaintiff has paid over \$1,514.84 in order to attempt to have the vehicle pass Maryland inspection.
- 41. Plaintiff has been stopped numerous times by Montgomery County Sheriff's officers due to the false report that his vehicle is stolen.
- 42. Due to the false reports, Plaintiff has been unable to drive his vehicle, which deprives him of the benefit of his purchase.

Count I - Breach of Contract

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 to 42 herein by reference.
- 44. On or about January 2, 2010, Plaintiff entered into a contract with Defendant to purchase a 2000 BMW 328i.
- 45. Plaintiff performed all his duties under this contract.

- 46. Defendant breached the contract by falsely attempting to have the vehicle returned to the possession of Defendant.
- 47. On or about March 13, 2010, Plaintiff entered into a second contract with Defendant regarding the financing of the 2000 BMW 328i.
- 48. Plaintiff performed all his proper duties under this contract.
- 49. Defendant breached this contract by falsely reporting the vehicle was stolen to law enforcement, including the Montgomery County Sheriff.
- 50. As a result of Defendant's breaches, Plaintiff has been deprived of the vehicle for which he contracted.
- 51. Plaintiff's deprivation of the vehicle has resulted in damages to Plaintiff.

Count II - Unjust Enrichment

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 to 42 herein by reference.
- 53. Plaintiff paid \$2800.00 as a down payment on the vehicle at the time of the purchase.
- 54. Since February 2010, Plaintiff has paid \$945.68 in monthly payments on the installment contract for the purchase of the vehicle.
- 55. Defendant has accepted both the down payment and the monthly installment payments.
- 56. Defendant has falsely attempted to return possession of the vehicle to Defendant and falsely reported the vehicle as stolen.
- 57. Defendant has not returned any of the monies paid by Plaintiff.
- 58. Plaintiff has been deprived of the use of the vehicle which he purchased from Defendant.
- 59. As a result of this deprivation, Defendant has been unjustly enriched in the amount of \$3,745.68.

Count III - Breach of Warranty of Merchantability

- 60. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 to 42 herein by reference.
- 61. On or about January 2, 2010, Defendant warranted to Plaintiff that the vehicle was in fine mechanical condition.
- 62. Defendant also warranted to Plaintiff that the vehicle would pass Maryland inspection for motor vehicles.
- 63. Plaintiff relied on this statement when deciding to purchase the vehicle.
- 64. In fact, the vehicle was not in fine mechanical condition. The vehicle could not even be started due to a non-working battery.
- 65. There were other mechanical issues with the vehicle, rendering it unfit for the purpose for which it was intended without extensive repairs.
- 66. The vehicle did not pass Maryland inspection for motor vehicles.
- 67. Defendant knew or should have known at the time of the sale that the vehicle was unfit for the purpose for which it was intended.
- 68. Despite this knowledge, Defendant sold the vehicle to Plaintiff.
- 69. Plaintiff relied on this warranty that the vehicle was fit for its intended when deciding to purchase.
- 70. Defendant breached the warranty of merchantability when it sold Plaintiff a vehicle that was unfit for its intended purpose.
- 71. Plaintiff was damaged by this breach by owning a vehicle that is unfit for its intended purpose.

Count IV - Defamation

72. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 to 42 herein by reference.

- 73. Sometime in late March, Defendant told persons unknown, but more than one person that the 2000 BMW 328i purchased by Plaintiff was stolen.
- 74. Defendant had knowledge at the time of the making of the statement of its falsity.
- 75. Defendant's sole purpose in making this false statement was to harass, embarrass and defame Plaintiff.
- 76. Defendant's intention in harassing, embarrassing and defaming Plaintiff was to induce Plaintiff to either surrender the vehicle which he had properly entered into a contract to purchase or pay the Promissory Note which was induced by duress.
- 77. At least one group of people told this false and malicious statement was the office of the Montgomery County Sheriff.
- 78. Due to this statement, Plaintiff was stopped numerous times by the members of the Montgomery County Sheriff who believed the vehicle was stolen.
- 79. Plaintiff suffered embarrassment and fear during these vehicles stops at being falsely accused of stealing a vehicle for which he had legally and properly contracted to purchase.
- 80. As a result of these numerous stops, Plaintiff was forced to cease driving his vehicle rather than risk being falsely arrested.
- 81. Plaintiff was damaged by the false and malicious statements in that he suffered fear, embarrassment and the loss of the use of the vehicle.

Prayer

WHEREFORE PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Brian Jenkins respectfully request this Court grant the following:

a. Judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant Cars International, LLC.;

- b. Damages for Breach of Contract in the amount of \$4,150.00;
- c. Damages for Unjust Enrichment in the amount of \$4,150.00;
- d. Damages for Breach of the Warranty of Merchantability in the amount of \$5,260.52;
- e. Damages for defamation in the amount of \$5,000.00;
- f. Punitive damages in an amount of treble actual damages;
- g. Attorneys' fees;
- h. Cost of suit;
- An Order directing Defendant Cars International, LLC process the proper paperwork to allow the vehicle to be registered in Maryland; and
- j. For such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled at law or in equity.

Respectfully submitted,

Elizabeth Pugliese, Esq. District Court No. 10096

1001 Spring St. #812

Silver Spring, MD 20910

Telephone: 703-231-0884

Email: elizabethp@ep-lawyer.com

Counsel for Plaintiff